

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/314,330 05/19/1999		ARTURO MARIA	113306	5017	
23838	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.			JACKSON, JENISE E		
SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2131		
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/14/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/314	330	MARIA, ARTURO	ı			
		Examin	ər	Art Unit				
			Jackson	2131				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with	n the correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSION OF	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a repute will expire SIX (6) MONTH Explication to become ABAI	ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of the condition of the condi				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>17 March 200</i>	6.					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-10 and 19-23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>7-10, 19-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		-	•				
	Applicant may not request that any object							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	r i U/36/08)	6) Other:		- 102)			

Application/Control Number: 09/314,330

Art Unit: 2131

;

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 7-10, 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davis et al.(6,367,009)
- 3. As per claim 7, Davis et al. discloses providing a plurality of machines authorized to access the web server(see sheet 2, fig. 2, col. 9, lines 14-20); associating with each authorized machine an access table storing authorization information(see col. 9, lines 65-67, col. 10, lines 1-18); coupling one of the authorized machines to an access requester (see col. 11, lines 29-64); verifying that the requester is authorized to access a resource on the web server with reference to the access table associated with the authorized machine to which the requester is coupled(see col. 15, lines 25-45); and allowing the requester to assume the identity of the authorized machine to which the requester is coupled after verifying that the requester is authorized, and based on the requester assuming the identity of the authorized machine allowing the requester access to the resource(see col. 15, lines 25-65).
- 4. As per claim 8, Davis et al. discloses wherein the plurality of authorized machines includes a first authorized machine that is authorized to access a first subset of resources at the web server and a second authorized machine that is authorized to access a second subset of

Art Unit: 2131

4

resources at the web server, wherein the second subset differs from the first subset(see col. 13, lines 59-67, col. 14, lines 1-5).

- 5. As per claim 9, Davis et al. discloses wherein the plurality of authorized machines includes a first authorized machine that is authorized to access a first subset of resources at the web server and a second authorized machine that is authorized to access a second subset of resources at the web server, wherein the second subset overlaps with the first subset(see col. 13, lines 59-67, col. 14, lines 1-15).
- 6. As per claim 10, Davis discloses wherein the first and second subsets are identical (see col. 13, lines 59-67).
- As per claim 19, Davis discloses a processor; authorization database containing logic for execution by the processor, the processor to determine, based on the logic, whether a user is authorized to assume the identity of the network element to gain access to a network resource that the network element is pre-authorized to access(see col. 15, lines 45-51); a port to couple the network element to a user; and a port to couple the network element to a network resource(see col. 15, lines 25-65).
- 8. As per claim 20, Davis discloses wherein the authorization database correlates user identifiers with resources accessible via the network element(see col. 9, lines 65-67, col. 10, lines 1-18).
- 9. As per claim 21, Davis discloses arranging a network element in a network, the network element being preauthorized to access a set of network resources; receiving, at the network element, a request from a user to connect to the network element(see col. 15, lines 25-65); determining whether the user is authorized to connect to the network element; if so, allowing the

user to assume the identity of the network element; and accessing, by the user, one of the set of network resources that the network element is pre-authorized to access, based on the user's assuming the identity of the network element(see col. 15, lines 45-51).

- 10. As per claim 22, Davis discloses wherein the network element is coupled to one or more network servers providing the set of network resources (see col. 15, lines 25-65).
- As per claim 23, Davis discloses checking an identity characteristic of the user to 11. determine whether the user is authorized to connect to the network element(see col. 15, lines 45-51).

Response to Amendment

12. The Applicant's remarks in regards to the Art that was applied previous rejection, is moot. New art has been applied to claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/314,330 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 9, 2006

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100